KNOXVILLE LOCOMOTIVE WORKS, INC. - C. Choi



COMPANY OVERVIEW

Knoxville Locomotive Works, Inc. (KLW) was originally established by Gulf & Ohio Railways, Inc. to serve as a maintenance company for the G&O family of railroads. Upon upgrade completion of the G&O fleet, KLW performed locomotive maintenance and modifications of Class I units on a contract basis. In 2010, KLW evolved into a remanufacturer of 'green' locomotives.



N.A. Rail Overview

Equipment interoperable between 3 countries

• 140,000 miles of privately owned track

Class I Railroads

- 6 Railroads
- 68% of freight rail mileage
- 88% of Rail employees
- 95% of revenue

Class II and III

- 615 short line railroads
- 44,000 route miles

Passenger Rail

- Operates on more than 21,000 miles
- Amtrak owns ~655 miles

Switching and Terminals

- Small railroads the pick-up and deliver goods
- Moves traffic between larger railroads



Freight Rail

Account for roughly 40% of U.S. long-distance freight volume (measured by ton-miles)

Haul farm and food products moved in a year 1.6 million carloads of grain and other farm products >1.7 million carloads of food products Over 1/3 of U.S. grain export movements

Movement of Construction, Pulp & Paper More than two million carloads of construction-related materials in a typical year Carries ~700,000 carloads of pulp and paper products

Motor Vehicles & Parts Carries 1.5 million carloads in a typical year Transports ~75% of the new cars and light trucks purchased in the U.S.

Intermodal:

In 2023, U.S. rail intermodal volume was 12.7 million units Accounted for ~ 25% of revenue for major U.S. railroads Fastest-growing major rail traffic segment over the past 25 years Half of rail intermodal volume consists of imports or exports

US Freight Efficiency

Summary of Rail Movement Characteristics and Results

Ref: Federal Railroad Administration, Final Report Comparative Evaluation of Rail and Truck Fuel Efficiency on Competitive Corridors November 19, 2009

Movement	Equipment Type*	Distance (miles)	Grade Severity	HP per Trailing Ton	Average Speed (mph)	Payload (tons)**	Fuel Efficiency (ton-miles/ gallon)	Rail- Truck FE Ratio
1	BC	280	1.7	1.1	14	66	406	3.9
2	DS	294	1.8	1.5	31	38	384	5.5
3	G	133	1.3	1.9	31	73	301	2.3
4	BC	1,083	1.9	1.2	21	74	469	3.6
5	G	242	2.2	2.0	17	96	278	2.8
6	TOFC	790	2.0	1.6	27	15	273	3.2
7	CH	790	2.0	1.3	21	98	487	5.3
8	DS	352	1.4	1.4	31	30	373	5.5
9	CH	352	1.4	1.4	21	95	475	4.3
10	A	367	1.4	1.4	27	18	156	1.9
11	A	561	1.8	1.4	20	18	157	2.0
12	G	910	2.1	1.3	21	91	452	4.0
13	DS	450	2.2	1.9	31	30	226	2.7
14	DS	673	1.5	2.1	50	54	348	3.5
15	DS	1,415	2.0	2.7	45	69	361	3.9
16	DS	2,232	2.6	2.2	46	65	426	4.8
17	A	445	1.5	2.0	51	20	164	2.2
18	DS	1,805	2.0	1.7	39	70	449	4.6
19	DS	2,090	2.6	2.5	44	48	358	4.0
20	DS	1,034	1.5	1.6	41	50	512	5.1
21	DS	2,150	2.6	2.1	48	54	409	4.5
22	DS	1,484	1.7	1.7	37	39	490	5.2
23	TC	1,788	2.6	2.3	43	47	370	5.3

* A = Auto Rack; BC = Box Car; CH = Covered Hopper; DS = Double-stack; G = Gondola; TC = Tank Car, TOFC = Trailer on Flat Car

** Rail and truck payloads are different due to different equipment capacities. Rail payload for intermodal movements are based on two stacked containers.

Cost to Move One Ton of Goods ---Truck - 134 miles per gallon of fuel 111111111111111 111111111111111 11111111111111 11111111111111 111111111111111

Rail - 470 miles per gallon of fuel



Pre-2024 (not in chronological order)	2024 +

Automatic Transition Dynamic Brake

Pre-2024 (not in chronological order)	2024 +

Automatic Transition Dynamic Brake

Rectifying Diodes Exane[™] Wiring Microprocessor Controls

Pre-2024 (not in chronological order)	2024 +
Automatic Transition Dynamic Brake	
Rectifying Diodes Exane [™] Wiring Microprocessor Controls	
Controlled Wheel Creep AC Traction Motors	

Pre-2024 (not in chronological order)	2024 +
Automatic Transition Dynamic Brake	
Rectifying Diodes Exane [™] Wiring Microprocessor Controls	
Controlled Wheel Creep AC Traction Motors	
Distributed Power	

Interoperability and Challenges

Rail Interoperability

- Guided by the Association of American Railroads
- Different railway systems working together safely and without interruption
- Ensures trains, infrastructure, and control systems from different regions can operate without compatibility issues¹
- DOT FRA regulates interoperability standards
 - The Rail Safety Improvement Act of 2008 (RSIA) mandated the implementation of Positive Train Control (PTC) systems on Class 1 railroads' main lines over which five million or more gross tons of annual traffic and certain hazardous materials are transported, and on any main lines over which intercity or commuter rail passenger transportation is regularly provided.²

Summary challenges for new, reduced GHG locomotives: Class 1 Railroads have set ambitious goals to reduce GHG by 2030³

Interoperability and Challenges

Summary challenges for new, reduced GHG locomotives: Class 1 Railroads have set ambitious goals to reduce GHG by 2030³

- Railroads need ~15 years or more to fully transition to interoperable net-zero locomotives that includes supporting infrastructure and facilities → running out of time to test technologies and implement transition plan³
- Tier 4 models have yet to prove sufficient value proposition in light of the various alternative energy sources under discussion → railroads choosing to rebuild³

	SCIEN BASE TARG	D ETS		
Near term • Long term • Net-zero • Location •	North America X Or	ganisation type 👻 🌔	Ground Transp	ortati × Date •
		TARGETS		
COMPANY/FINANCIAL	NEAR TERM 0	<u>Goal Type</u>	Amount	NET-ZERO 0
BNSF Railway United States of America (USA), North America	COMMITTED	Absolute	30%	
Canadian National Railway Company Canada, North America	WILL-BELOW 2'C	Intensity	43%	COMMITTED
Canadian Pacific Railway Company Canada, North America	WELL-BELOW PC	Intensity	38%	2
CSX Corporation United States of America (USA), North America	WELL-SELOW 2C	Intensity	37%	
Norfolk Southern Corporation United States of America (USA), North America	WELL-BILLOW PC	Intensity	42%	20
Union Pacific Railroad United States of America (USA), North America	WELL-BELOW 20	Absolute	26%	Announced Intent
Kansas City Southern United States of America (USA), North America	WELL-BELOW 2'C	Intensity	42%	-

35% Transportation

> 19% Residential

U.S. Industrial GHG Emissions

Industrial sector is comprised of manufacturing | agriculture | mining | construction

Energy-Related CO₂ Emissions By Sector

30%

Industrial

16% Commercial



THE U.S. NATIONAL BLUEPRINT FOR TRANSPORTATION DECARBONIZATION

Net Zero 2050 - Priority actions to decarbonize rail



Infrastructure investments

- Interoperability and infrastructure for clean fuel technology adoption
- Build strong domestic rail equipment supply chains for electric and alternatively fueled locomotives
- Support the development and deployment of sustainable fuels.
- Multi-stakeholder collaborations
- Ambitious and shared targets and regulation for the rail sector
- Existing industry partnerships designed to improve efficiency and reduce emissions in the freight network.
- State freight advisory committees to help transition fleets and modernize rail systems. <u>Research and innovation</u>
- Advance technology through pilot projects
- Policy/regulation support → accelerate the growth of electrification of the U.S. passenger rail
- Prioritize freight rail research for promising paths to decarbonization
 - ✓ Sustainable fuels, design and manufacture of new locomotive propulsion and fueling systems.
- Identify transformative pathways to inform the development of ambitious goals and regulation to reduce rail emissions.
- Collection of real-world operational data to understand vehicle requirements and develop models and tools to identify the most viable clean technology solutions to replace diesel locomotives.



1 icon represents limited long-term opportunity 2 icons represents large long-term opportunity 3 icons represents greatest long-term opportunity 1 I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	BATTERY/ELECTRIC	(O) HYDROGEN	SUSTAINABLE LIQUID FUELS
Light Duty Vehicles (49%)*		-	TBD
Medium, Short-Haul Heavy Trucks & Buses (~14%)		۲	1 1
Long-Haul Heavy Trucks (~7%)		• • •	55
0//		<u> </u>	
Rail (2%)		•••	55
Manume (5%)			jen ien ien
		00	
Aviation (11%)		٢	666
Aviation (11%) Pipelines (4%)			
		۲	666

All emissions shares are for 2019



[†] Includes hydrogen for ammonia and methan

KLW SUSTAINABILITY: Current and Future Technologies

Biodiesel & Renewable Fuels

- Run 100% renewable diesel and 20% biodiesel in existing locomotives, which can quickly reduce carbon emissions by 20-25%.
- Dual Fuel Engines Allows diesel fueled engines transition to lower carbon fuels.
- Interoperability Allows renewable, low carbon fuels to be used when available and provides diesel fuel as a secondary source of fuel.

KLW SUSTAINABILITY: Current and Future Technologies

Battery Electic Hybrid Locomotives

Testing and demonstrating hybrid battery- powered line-haul and switching locomotives to meet intensive rail operation demands.

Zero Emissions

Zero emissions for both switcher and line-haul locomotives are in development.

Challenges with 100% Battery Electric and/or Catenary for long haul Rail freight

- □ Battery weight impacts cargo carrying capacity
- Catenary installation estimated cost \$2m to \$4.8m per track mile, depending on the source
- □ Available renewable energy to power long haul catenary

DIESEL LOCOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY BREAKTHROUGHS - Now & Future

Pre-2024			2024 +	
Automatic Transition	Dynamic Brake	Rectifying Diodes	 Focus on improving efficiency! ➢ Engine Systems • Fuel efficiency for lower GHG • Engine + Energy Storage Hybrid 	
EXANE Wiring		Wheel Creep	Critical enablers	
Microprocessor Controls AC T		action Motors	 High power electronics efficiency Traction motor (more tractive power in smaller space) Next generation controls 	
Distributed Power			 Power Distribution Thermal Management 	
			Modular design	
			→ Technology Demonstrations for alternative powertrains	

 \rightarrow Ongoing input from Rail to Departments



THANK YOU